International Journal of Integrated Care

Volume 13, 23 October 2013 Publisher: Igitur publishing URL: http://www.ijic.org

Cite this as: Int J Integr Care 2013; Annual Conf Suppl; URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-114696

Copyright: (CC) BY

Conference Abstract

Gesundes Kinzigtal Integrated Care: interim results of the external evaluation

Achim Siegel, Division of General Practice, Division of Medical Psychology and Medical Sociology, University of Freiburg, Germany

Ingrid Köster, PMV research group, University of Cologne, Germany

Ingrid Schubert, PMV research group, University of Cologne, Germany

Lars Hölzel, Division of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Centre Freiburg, Germany

Ulrich Stoessel, Division of Medical Psychology and Medical Sociology, University of Freiburg, Germany

Correspondence to: **Achim Siegel**, University of Freiburg, Germany, E-mail: <u>achim.siegel@uniklinik-freiburg.de</u>

Abstract:

Introduction: Gesundes Kinzigtal Integrated Care (GKIC) is a population-based integrated care system in Southwest Germany [1]. The GKIC management is accountable for about 31.000 insurants of two statutory health insurers in the region, AOK Baden-Wuerttemberg and LKK Baden-Wuerttemberg. Several external evaluation studies are to provide evidence on GKIC's comparative health care quality.

Aims: To summarize and discuss the results of the two most important evaluation studies of GKIC, performed by independent research institutes.

Results: A prospective controlled cohort study assessed GKIC's effects on (1) patient satisfaction, (2) patient participation in medical decisions and (3) patients' quality of life. Here, no significant intervention effect could be demonstrated.

Another quasi-experimental controlled trial (still pending) assesses the level and development of over-, under- and mis-use of health care by surveying more than 30 indicators of health care quality in the intervention region (Kinzigtal region) and a control region (the remaining parts of Baden-Wuerttemberg). These indicators are derived from health insurers' claims data, comprising the years 2004 (baseline year) and 2005 until 2011 (follow-up period). Besides providing inconclusive results for many indicators, interim results referring to the years 2004-08 demonstrate slight tendencies of a comparatively higher and a comparatively rising health care quality in the intervention region.

Discussion: As both of the above-mentioned studies do not follow a randomized design, the strength of the respective evidence is limited. Scope and relevance of these limitations, however, seems to be different in both studies. This issue will be discussed in greater detail in the oral presentation. The discussion will also provide some lessons to be learnt with respect to similar studies in the future.

^{13&}lt;sup>th</sup> international Conference on Integrated Care, Berlin, Germany, April 11-12, 2013

International Journal of Integrated Care - Volume 13, 23 October - URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-114696- http://www.ijic.org/

Keywords

integrated health care systems, health care quality indicators, evaluation, Germany

References:

1. Hildebrandt H, Hermann C, Knittel R, Richter-Reichhelm M, Siegel A, Witzenrath W. Gesundes Kinzigtal Integrated Care: Improving population health by a shared health gain approach and a shared savings contract. International Journal of Integrated Care [serial on line] 2010 jun 23;10.[cited 2013 april 12]. Available from: http://www.ijic.org. URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-100872.

 $\textbf{Presentation} \text{ available at } \underline{\text{http://www.integratedcarefoundation.org/content/25-evaluating-integrated-care}$